ORDINANCE NO.0004462

AN ORDINANCE relating to the repeal of and substitution of sections in various land use ordinances, all for the purpose of deleting present appeals procedures and establishing a uniform system for appeals of land use and public health decisions to the zoning and subdivision examiner; amending Ordinance 2536, Section 1, Resolution 36058, Resolution 11048, Section IV(D) and KCC 19.28.050; Ordinance 263, Article 6 (part) and KCC 20.28.010; Ordinance 1886, Sections 2 and 7, Ordinance 1076, Sections 2 and 7 and KCC 20.36.020 and .070; Ordinance 3026, Sections 6, 7 and 11 and KCC 20.44. 050, .060 and .100; Resolution 25789, Sections 2612 and 2613 and KCC 21.52.130 and .140; Ordinance 1161, Section 11 and KCC 21.58.060; Ordinance 2910, Section 19, Resolution 25789, Section 2808 and KCC 21.58.100; repealing and substituting new sections in place of Ordinance 3113, Section 6(12)and KCC 19.26.160; Ordinance 173 and KCC 19.28.040; Ordinance 2250, Section 2, Ordinance 1886, Section 9, Ordinance 1076, Section 9 and KCC 20.36.090; Ordinance 2250, Section 5, Ordinance 1076, Section 13 and KCC 20.36.130; Ordinance 2423, Section 5 and KCC 21.46.180; Ordinance 1527, Section 9, Ordinance 2985, Section 13, Ordinance 3718, Section 3 and KCC 21.54.090; Resolution 25789, Section 2902 and KCC 21.60.030; and repealing Ordinance 263, Article 5, Section 7(part) and KCC 20.24.080; Ordinance 263, Article 7, Section 1 and KCC 20.32.010; Ordinance 1886, Section 6, Ordinance 1076, Section 6 and KCC 20.36.060; Ordinance 2250, Section 2, Ordinance 1886, Section 8, Ordinance 1076, Section 8 and KCC 20.36. 080; Ordinance 2250, Section 4, Ordinance 1076, Section 10 and KCC 20.36.100; Ordinance 1076, Sections 11 and 12 and KCC 20.36.110 and .120; Ordinance 2910, Section 17; Resolution 25789, Section 2806 and KCC 21.58.080; Ordinance 2910, Section 18, Resolution 25789, Section 2807 and KCC 21.58.090; Resolution 25789, Sections 2811 and 2812 and KCC 21.58.130 and .140; Resolution 33880, Resolution 25789, Section 2903 and

2

3

4

,

7

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

2223

24

25

26 27

28

29

30

31

32

KCC 21.60.040; Resolution 25789, Section 2904 and KCC 21.60.050; Ordinance 1161, Sections 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19 and 20 and KCC 21.60.060, .070, .080, .090, .100, .110, .120, .130 and .140; Resolution 25789, Section 3005 and KCC 21.62.060; Resolution 25789, Section 3007 (part) and KCC 21.62.080(3).

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY:

SECTION 1. The following ordinances and resolutions and parts and sections of ordinances and resolutions are hereby repealed:

- 1. Ordinance 263, Article 5, Section 7 (part) and KCC 20.24.080.
- Ordinance 263, Article 7, Section 1 and KCC 20.32
 010.
- 3. Ordinance 1886, Section 6; Ordinance 1076, Section 6 and KCC 20.36.060.
- 4. Ordinance 2250, Section 2; Ordinance 1886, Section 8; Ordinance 1076, Section 8; and KCC 20.36.080.
- 5. Ordinance 2250, Section 4; Ordinance 1076, Section 10 and KCC 20.36.100.
- 6. Ordinance 1076, Sections 11 and 12 and KCC 20.36 .110 and .120.
- 7. Ordinance 2910, Section 17; Resolution 25789, Section 2806 and KCC 21.58.080.
- 8. Ordinance 2910, Section 18; Resolution 25789, Section 2807 and KCC 21.58.090.
- 9. Resolution 25789, Sections 2811 and 2812, and KCC 21.58.130 and .140.
- 10. Resolution 33880; Resolution 25789, Section 2903 and KCC 21.60.040.
 - 11. Resoultion 25789, Section 2904 and KCC 21.60.050.

1	12. Ordinance 1161, Sections 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17,
2	18, 19 and 20 and KCC 21.60.060, .070, 080, .090, .100, .110,
3	.120, .130, and .140.
4	13. Resolution 25789, Section 3005 and KCC 21.62.060.
5	14. Resolution 25789, (part), Section 3007 (part) and
6	KCC 21.62.080(3).
7	SECTION 2. Ordinance 3113, Section 6(12) and KCC
8	19.26.160 are each hereby repealed and the following substituted:
9	Appeals. (a) Any final decision or action of any
10	county agency regarding a short plat application, except the
11	Department of Public Health, may be appealed by any aggrieved
12	person to the zoning and subdivision examiner pursuant to KCC
13	20.24.
14	(b) The appeal of any final decision or action of the
15	Department of Public Health regarding requirements set forth in
16	this chapter shall be filed in accordance with WAC 248-96-160
17	and King County Department of Public Health Rules and Regulations.
18	SECTION 3. Ordinance 173 and KCC 19.28.040 are each
19	hereby repealed and the following substituted:
20	Hearing on Preliminary Plats. Hearings on preliminary
21	plats shall be conducted pursuant to the procedures established
22	in KCC 20.24.
23	SECTION 4. Ordinance 263, Article 6 (part) and KCC
24	20.28.010 is hereby amended to read as follows:
25	DECISION-APPEAL. The department shall make the initial
26	decision concerning all applications for zoning variance and
27	conditional use permits and its decisions shall be final unless
28	appealed to the ((beard-of-appeals)) zoning and subdivision
29	examiner.
30	
31	
32	
33	– 3 –

SECTION 5. Ordinance 263, Article 6, Section 1 and KCC 20.28.020 are each amended to read as follows:

Zoning adjustor established. The ((director-of-planning))

Manager of the Building and Land Development Division with the approval of the county executive may appoint a zoning adjustor to hear and decide variances and conditional use permits. ((The examiner-may-be-appointed-as-zoning-adjustor))

SECTION 6. Ordinance 1886, Section 2; Ordinance 1976, 32 and KCC 20.36.020 are each hereby amended to read as follows:

Zoning and Subdivision Examiner. The office of zoning and subdivision examiner as established by Chapter 20.24 as amended shall act in behalf of the council in considering applications for current use assessments on open space or timber land in an unincorporated area of the county or appeals from denials by the county assessor of applications for current use assessments on farm and agricultural land as provided herein. All such applications and appeals shall be processed pursuant to the procedures established in this chapter and KCC 20.24.

SECTION 6A. Ordinance 1886, Section 7; Ordinance 1076, Section 7 and KCC 20.36.070 are each hereby amended to read as follows:

Notice of public hearings for open space and timberland applications. Notice of the time, place and purpose of any such public hearing on an open space or timber land application based on land in unincorporated areas of the county shall be given by one publication in the official county newspaper at least ((ten)) twenty days before the hearing.

SECTION 7. Ordinance 2250, Section 2; Ordinance 1886, Section 9; Ordinance 1076, Section 9 and KCC 20.36.090 are hereby repealed and the following substituted:

Applications filed after October 1st. In the case of open space and timber applications filed after October 1st of each calendar year, the examiner shall establish time periods for satisfaction of any conditions so as to enable the county assessor to make a timely notation on the assessment list and the tax roll for such land in the event of approval of such applications.

SECTION 8. Ordinance 2250, Section 5; Ordinance 1076, Section 13 and KCC 20.36.130 are hereby repealed and the following substituted:

Effect of approval. Any ordinance approving an application shall constitute authorization for the chairman of the council to sign the open space taxation agreement.

SECTION 9. Ordinance 3026, Section 6 and KCC 20.44.050 are hereby amended to read as follows:

Lead agency - Responsibilities and designation.

(a) King County adopts by reference WAC 197-10-200 through 197-10-270 and 197-10-345, as now or hereafter amended. The responsibilities and designation of responsible officials within the county shall be governed by the SEPA Guidelines cited herein.

- (b) In case of uncertainty or disagreement as to which county department, if any, should carry out the county's lead agency responsibilities, the county executive shall designate the responsible official.
- (c) The director of the county department exercising initial jurisdiction over private proposals, or sponsoring a county proposal, shall be the responsible official as defined in WAC 197-10-040(30) and required by WAC 197-10-820. The directors of county departments may delegate their lead agency

3

4

6

8

7

9

11

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

29 30

31

32

33

responsibilities to divisons within their departments on an action category basis, and in accordance with their written departmental procedures prepared pursuant to Section 20.44.140 of this chapter.

- (d) The responsible official shall make the threshold determination, supervise preparation of any required EIS, and perform any other functions assigned to the lead agency or responsible official by those sections of the SEPA Guidelines adopted by reference in this chapter for all proposals for which the county is the lead agency.
- (e) The lead agency responsibilities of the county council shall be carried out as follows:
- With respect to council action on application for zone reclassification, unclassified use permits, planned unit developments, preliminary plat approvals, changes to shoreline management environment designations, or any other nonexempt proposal reviewed by the zoning and subdivision examiner, ((pursuant-to-KCC-20-24-070)) the director, Department of Planning and Community Development, shall be the responsible official making threshold determinations. The examiner's recommendations on such application shall include findings and conclusions on the environmental significance of the proposed actions. The council, when acting on such recommendations, shall expressly concur with and adopt, or expressly modify or reverse, the examiner's findings and conclusions on the environmental significance of such proposed actions. In so doing, the council shall accord substantial weight to the threshold determination made by the Department of Planning and Community Development.

7[.]

(2) With respect to council-initiated actions, the council shall refer such proposals to the county executive for threshold determination and any other procedural steps necessary for compliance with SEPA.

 $\underline{\text{SECTION 10}}$. Ordinance 3026, Section 7 and KCC 20.44.060 are each hereby amended to read as follows:

Environmental checklist - Information required from applicant.

(a) King County adopts by reference WAC 197-10-050, and WAC 197-10-300 through 197-10-340, and WAC 197-10-350 through 197-10-390 as now or hereafter amended. Procedures and criteria for all threshold determinations made pursuant to this chapter, including use of an environmental checklist and requiring additional information from applications, shall be governed by the SEPA Guidelines cited herein; provided, that nothing in WAC 197-10-350 or 197-10-390 shall preclude the county from denying an application for a private or noncounty proposal which is determined to be significant, based on grounds which are ascertainable without preparation of an EIS, as provided for in Section 20.44.100 of this chapter.

(b) Additional information not required by other state law or county ordinance for an application may be required of an applicant by a responsible official of the county for use in threshold determination, pursuant to WAC 197-10-330(1)(a). The responsible official may refuse to process and consider the application further if the applicant refuses or fails to provide specifically required information within six months of written notification of such requirement. Written notice specifying all additional information so required must be provided within fifteen days of the proper filing of the

application, except in the case of proposals being heard by the zoning and subdivison examiner. Such a refusal by a responsible official shall be final.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24.

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

- (c) In making threshold determinations, the responsible official shall measure the environmental significance of a proposal, recognizing that all applicable mandatory standards and mitigating conditions would be imposed as part of any approval of a proposed action.
- (d) The environmental checklist required by WAC 197-10-365 shall be used for both county and noncounty major actions. County departments are hereby authorized to combine the checklist and/or information thereon with departmental reports and application forms, provided that the checklist questions are unaltered, as required by WAC 197-10-365.
- For proposals being heard by the zoning and subdivision examiner and submitted to the county council for final action ((pursuant-to-King-County-Code-20+24+070)) initial threshold determinations shall be completed prior to commencement of public hearings before the examiner, except that in the case of applications by private persons and noncounty agencies where the applicant requests in writing that an environmental impact statement be prepared because of the significant impact asserted and stated by the applicant, the responsible official shall make a determination of significance within fifteen days of such request. The examiner may require additional information prior to making his threshold determination, pursuant to WAC 197-10-330; such requirement shall be provided orally or in writing prior to closing the public hearing. For all other noncounty proposals requiring county licenses, initial threshold determination shall be made within fifteen calendar days of

submittal of a complete application, except when additional information is required pursuant to subsection(b) of this section.

 $\underline{\text{SECTION 11}}$. Ordinance 3026, Section 11 and KCC 20.44 .100 are each hereby amended to read as follows:

Final environmental impact statement - Content, procedures and use of official actions. (a) King County adopts by reference WAC 197-10-550 through 197-10-710, as now and hereafter amended. The contents, preparation procedures and use of final environmental impact statements in official actions of the county shall be governed by the SEPA Guidelines cited herein.

- (b) Nothing in WAC 197-10-650 through 197-10-695 shall prevent the county from obtaining new environmental information and studies and making same available to the appropriate decision-maker to be included in the planning, review and decision processes without the necessity of a draft and final supplemental EIS.
- (c) The responsible official may refuse to process and consider further an application if the applicant refuses or fails to provide new information prior to the expiration of the final EIS preparation period allowed by WAC 197-10-550 which the responsible official requires in order to respond adequately to critical comments received on the draft EIS ((7-within-six months-of-written-notification-of-such-requirements)). Written notification specifying all additional information so required must be provided within seven days of the expiration of the draft EIS circulation period set forth in WAC 197-10-455. Such a refusal shall be final.
- (d) Where approval is given to a proposal which required an EIS, the county's responsible official may impose

11

9

13

those reasonable conditions necessary to mitigate or avoid the identified significant adverse impacts of the proposal.

- When denial of a private proposal, which is determined to be significant, can be based on existing county ordinances, the responsible official may deny the request without preparing an EIS in order to save the applicant and the county from incurring needless expense, subject to the following provisions:
- With respect to any application reviewed by the zoning and subdivision examiner ((pursuant-to-King-County-Code 2θ -24- θ 7 θ)) which is not categorically exempt, an affirmative threshold determination shall not preclude the Department of Planning and Community Development or the zoning and subdivision

14

16

17

18

20

21

19

22 23

24

25 26

> 27 28

> > 29

30 31 examiner from recommending denial of an application based on existing county ordinances. Such a recommendation for denial shall be based on express written findings and conclusions of:

- (A) Clear and irreconcilable conflict with the policies and standards of the county's comprehensive plan, or
- Irreconcilable conflict with adopted county (B) ordinance, or
- Irreconcilable conflict with duly adopted rules (C) and regulations. Provided, that the examiner may find that there is reasonable doubt that grounds for denial are sufficient, and therefore remand the application for consideration following preparation of an EIS;
 - (2) When the county council considers a recommendatio for denial by the zoning and subdivision examiner made pursuant to this subsection (e), it may take one of the following actions
 - (A) Deny the application,

- (B) Find that there is reasonable doubt that grounds for denial are sufficient, and therefore remand the application for reconsideration following preparation of an EIS,
- (C) Expressly reverse the examiner's conclusions and determination of environmental significance, as provided in Section 20.44.050(e) of this chapter;
- (3) With respect to any other application reviewed by any responsible official within the county for a project which is not categorically exempt, an affirmative threshold determination shall not preclude the responsible official from denying an application based on existing county ordinances. Such a denial shall be based on express written findings and conclusions of:
- (A) Clear and irreconcilable conflict with the policies and standards of the county's comprehensive plan, or
- (B) Irreconcilable conflict with adopted county ordinances, or
- (C) Irreconcilable conflict with duly adopted rules and regulations.

SECTION 12. Ordinance 2423, Section 5 and KCC 21.46.180 are each hereby repealed and the following substituted:

Site plan approvals - Appeals from decisions. Any person aggrieved by the decision of the department regarding site plan approvals may appeal that decision to the zoning and subdivision examiner pursuant to KCC 20.24.

SECTION 13. Resolution 25789, Section 2612 and KCC 21.52.130 are each hereby amended to read as follows:

Notice of abatement or required conformance. When any nonconforming condition exists which is subject to abatement, it shall be the responsibility of the ((director-of-planning))

Building and Land Development Division to ascertain the date upon which the nonconforming use was established or acquired. ((director-of-planning)) Building and Land Development Division shall notify the owner and lessee of the subject property of the intent to consider the matter and the date of such consideration. The ((director)) Division shall consider all pertinent data in connection therewith and provide the opportunity for the owner or lessee to present such evidence which properly relates to such case. The ((director)) Division shall establish the facts upon which the determination is made to require such property owner to abate or make the use conforming, and shall formally notify the owner of record and the occupant in writing of the decision and of the date by which such use or uses shall be abated or made conforming. Such formal notification shall be by certified mail to the property owner and a copy mailed to the occupant at the address of the premises. ((Betermination made-under-this-section-is-subject-to-the-appeal-provisions-of Seetion-24.58.070.) Any person aggrieved by a decision made under this section may appeal that decision to the zoning and subdivision examiner pursuant to KCC 20.34.

Not more than ten days from the final date by which an appeal may be filed with the ((board-of-appeals)) examiner, or not more than ten days following the date of a determination by the ((board-of-appeals)) examiner on an appeal, the ((planning agency)) Division shall cause the final decision in the matter to be recorded with the ((county-auditor)) Records and Elections Division, identifying in such record the property to which such action applies.

SECTION 14. Resolution 25789 82613 and KCC 21.52.140 are each hereby amended to read as follows:

31

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

((Beard-of-Appeals)) Examiner may extend time of abatement on certain uses. The ((beard-of-appeals)) examiner may ((upon-the-filing-of-an-application-therefor)) in an appeal pursuant to KCC 21.52.130 and KCC 20.24, upon a showing of unusual hardship extend the time of abatement or required conformance of a nonconforming use of land wherein no structure or building is involved, or of accessory buildings and structures in connection with the nonconforming use of open land, or the nonconforming use of a conforming building.

SECTION 15. Ordinance 1527, Section 9; Ordinance 2985 Section 13; Ordinance 3718, Section 3 and KCC 21.54.090 are each hereby repealed and the following substituted:

Permits and licenses - Appeal from Decision. (a) The decision of the Department of Public Works to approve or disapprove a permit or license in a Flood Hazard area may be appealed to the Zoning and Subdivision Examiner pursuant to KCC 20.24.

(b) The requested permit or license shall not be issued by a County Department pending a decision by the examiner.

 $\underline{\text{SECTION 16}}$. Ordinance 1161, Section 11 and KCC 21.58 .060 are each hereby amended to read as follows:

Decision of adjustor final unless appealed. The decision of the adjustor shall be final unless the original applicant or an adverse party files an appeal to the ((board-of appeals)) zoning and subdivision examiner pursuant to KCC 20.24.

SECTION 17. Ordinance 2910, Section 19, Resolution 25789, Section 2808 and KCC 21.58.100 are each hereby amended to read as follows:

Appeal - ((Board-of-appeal's)) Examiner's authority.

The ((board-of-appeal's)) examiner's consideration shall be

based upon the record developed before the zoning adjustor only. If, after examination of the written appeal and the record, the ((board)) examiner determines that: (1) A material error in fact or procedure may exist in the record, ((it)) the examiner shall remand the proceeding to the zoning adjustor for reconsideration; or

(2) The decision of the zoning adjustor is <u>arbitrary</u> and capricious or is based upon an ((arbitrary-or-capricious-error in-judgment-or)) erroneous conclusion ((based-upon-the-applicable law-and-the-record)), the Examiner may reverse or affirm, wholly or in part, or may modify the order, requirement, decision or determination appealed from.

SECTION 18. Resolution 25789, Section 2902 and KCC 21.60.030 are each hereby amended to read as follows:

Initiation of amendment. Amendments ((of-this-title and-the-maps-which-are-a-part-hereof)) as provided in 21.60.010(1) and (2) may be initiated by:

- (1) The verified application of one or more owners of property which is proposed to be changed or reclassified; or
- (2) By the adoption of a motion by the council requesting the ((planning-agency)) Department of Planning and Community
 Development to set a matter for hearing and reconsideration.

1	The procedure for consideration of such amendments shall
2	be provided in KCC 20.24.
3	INTRODUCED and READ for the first time this 26 day
4	of <u>March</u> , 1979.
5	PASSED this 27th day of Queguet, 1979.
6	KING COUNTY COUNCIL
7 ·	KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON
8	Red Oliver
9	Chairman //
10	
11	ATTEST:
12	
13	Sun Munioux
14	Clerk of the Council
15	APPROVED this 10 day of the 1979.
16	APPROVED this, 1979.
17	
18	King County Executive
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	, <u>, </u>